

Lfw

PTO/SB/21 (04-07)

Approved for use through 09/30/2007. OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

13

Application Number

10/719,719

Filing Date

11/21/2003

First Named Inventor

Bouressa, Don L.

Art Unit

2512

Examiner Name

Pope, Daryl C.

Attorney Docket Number

SRC-014-P

ENCLOSURES

(Check all that apply)

☐

Fee Transmittal Form

☐

Fee Attached

☒

Amendment/Reply

☐

After Final

☐

Affidavits/declaration(s)

☐

Extension of Time Request

☐

Express Abandonment Request

☐

Information Disclosure Statement

☐

Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

☐

Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application

☐

Reply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

☐

Drawing(s)

☐

Licensing-related Papers

☐

Petition

☐

Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application

☐

Power of Attorney, Revocation

☐

Change of Correspondence Address

☐

Terminal Disclaimer

☐

Request for Refund

☐

CD, Number of CD(s) _____

Landscape Table on CD

☐

After Allowance Communication to TC

☐

Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences

☐

Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)

☐

Proprietary Information

☐

Status Letter

☐

Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
below):

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name

Chapman Law Firm

Signature

Stephen R. Chapman

Printed name

Stephen R. Chapman

Date

April 25, 2007

Reg. No.

45,468

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature

Stephen R. Chapman

Typed or printed name

Stephen R. Chapman

Date

April 26, 2007


This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



MAILING CERTIFICATE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE
IS BEING DEPOSITED ON APRIL 26, 2007
WITH THE UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE
PREPAID IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450


Stephen R. Chapman
Registration No.: 45,468
Date: April 26, 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Bouressa, Don L. Docket No.: SRC-014-P
Application No.: 10/719,719 Art Unit: 2612
Filing Date: 11/21/2003 Examiner: Pope, Daryl C.
Title: Emergency Ingress/Egress Monitoring System

REPLY
AND
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

The following is in reply to a non-final Office communication dated February 6, 2007 in which claims 1 - 13 are pending in the application and claims 1 - 13 are rejected.

All rejections are based on failure to satisfy the non-obvious requirements of 35 USC 103(a) citing a single reference, US 5,218,344 issued June 8, 1993 to Ricketts.

As required by revised 37 CFR 1.121, all claims are properly listed starting on page 2 - 4.

Remarks/Argument starting on page 5 establish that the examiner failed to establish a prima facie case for non-obviousness and the rejections cannot be sustained, Conclusion on page 12 and request on pages 12 - 13.